

Minutes of the regular meeting of the Georgetown Township Planning Commission and Mineral Mining Board, held Wednesday, July 21, 2021

Meeting called to order by Chairperson Samy at 7:00 p.m.

Present: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Absent: Donna Ferguson

Also present: Victor Vuong, Zoning Administrator, Crystal Morgan, Township Attorney

The Planning Commission meeting was called to order by Chairperson Samy at 7:00 p.m.

#210721-01 – Planning Commission Agenda for July 21, 2021

Moved by Gary Veldink, seconded by Jessica Ulberg, to approve the agenda as submitted.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

#210721-02 – Public Comments for items on the agenda

The Chairperson opened the floor to public comments.

Brad Yonker, 7699 Cascade Road SE, Grand Rapids, MI, said he is the co-owner of the Bricks gas station and he represents a few other people. He said they opened three and a half years ago and have received a lot of support from the community. They are a very small, family-owned, local company and they hope that any development at the southeast corner of 44th Street and 8th Avenue will not impact them.

Michael McPherson, with Atwell, LLC at 12745 23 Mile Road, Suite 200, Shelby Township, MI, said he understands traffic is a concern for the property at the southeast corner of 44th Street and 8th Avenue so they reached out to the Ottawa County Road Commission and were told there's no restriction on access, but the location, design, and configuration of the drive will depend on a traffic study.

Richard Geenen, 8871 Cedar Lake Drive, Jenison, MI, said the Bricks gas station used to be part of the Fairway Golf Course. The PUD includes the Eagle's Nest Apartments, Eaglebrook Apartments, a dental office, and the Bricks gas station. It's a 20-acre PUD and the gas station only makes up 10% of it so the impact is small and there's a great buffer around it. He's opposed to high intensity development at the southeast corner of 44th Street and 8th Avenue.

The Chairperson closed the floor to public comments.

#210721-03 – Minutes of the June 16, 2021 Planning Commission Meeting

Moved by Gary Veldink, seconded by Michael Moores, to approve the minutes as presented.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

#210721-04 – Old Business – Proposed Master Plan Text Revisions – Southeast Corner of 44th Street SW & 8th Avenue.

The following changes were presented to the Planning Commission to be included in the Master Plan Draft 2 in Chapter 8, page 45:

44th St. Corridor

The future land use recommendation for the land in the vicinity of 44th St. between Kenowa and Chicago Dr. has been established as a pattern, including the following:

- Providing for commercial uses only at the extreme ends of the corridor, at Kenowa Ave. and near the Chicago Dr./Port Sheldon Rd. intersection, and at the 8th Ave. intersection, mid-way through the corridor. **The southeast corner of 44th St. and 8th Ave. may be developed as a PUD with low intense commercial or residential uses such as those listed in the OS and NS districts if the development complies with the following: 1) adequate buffering is provided to the adjacent neighboring LDR district; 2) the site is serviced by public water and sanitary sewer; and 3) the site is developed in accordance with the results of a traffic study in regard to allowable driveway locations, driveway design and configuration, road improvements such as right turn lanes, intersection signal improvements and/or timing changes, or other improvements.**
- Providing for a mix of low-to-medium density residential uses along the corridor, with site design which minimizes access driveways onto 44th St.

Approximately ten acres of land, located within the Fairway Estates PUD, are provided for neighborhood commercial use, along with possible residential/mixed uses. **Some construction has already taken place.**

Kenowa should remain residential on the west side of the street, other than near 44th St.

Good access management practices should be utilized to minimize access to this road and to encourage the movement of vehicular traffic along this corridor.

Michael Moores said his only concern is what exactly will go there, but that's not a topic of discussion right now.

Tom Healy clarified to members of the public that there is not a proposed plan right now. It is just updating language in the Master Plan and any plan will be reviewed to meet requirements at the time it is submitted. He supports the language as proposed.

Jeannine Bolhouse also clarified to members of the public that the Planning Commission is just looking at allowing a broader range of possible uses and she is in favor of the language as presented.

Josiah Samy said this is more about the future use of the property and a PUD allows the Planning Commission to have more control over the design.

Gary Veldink asked what is considered low intense?

The Zoning Administrator said the Master Plan is used as a guide for future development and that is up to the interpretation of the Planning Commission.

Jessica Ulberg said she is good with the language.

Moved by Gary Veldink, seconded by Tom Healy, to approve the text revisions as provided.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Tom Healy, Gary Veldink, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

#210721-05 – New Business

There was no new business at this time.

#210721-06 – Public Comments

No one in the public made public comments at this time.

#210721-07 – Other Business

There was no other business at this time.

#210721-08 – Adjournment

Moved by Gary Veldink, seconded by Jessica Ulberg, to adjourn the meeting at 7:20 p.m.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

The Planning Commission meeting was completed. The Mineral Mining Board special meeting was called to order by Chairperson Samy at 7:21 p.m.

#210721-01 – Mineral Mining Board Agenda for July 21, 2021

Moved by Gary Veldink, seconded by Michael Moores, to approve the agenda as submitted.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

#210721-02 – Public Comments for items on the agenda

The Chairperson opened the floor to public comments.

Joel Schaaf, 9121 24th Avenue, Jenison, MI, said he built his home in a residential area, not an industrial area, so this area on Fillmore should not be rezoned to Industrial. He said the traffic is bad enough and this will put even more trucks on the road Monday through Saturday. Another concern of his is they will import materials with PFAS. He also said allowing the importation of material will set a precedent for other industrial uses in residential areas and he asked the Mineral Mining Board to deny the request.

Lavern Jones, 9107 24th Avenue, Jenison, MI, said his biggest concern is allowing the importation of gravel from the Belmont location to be processed here because there's been serious health risks. There are families in the Belmont area who live a significant distance from where the material contaminated with PFAS was deposited and they can't even sell their home. He said Georgetown Township should not voluntarily let them bring the material here when it's not wanted in Belmont. We should not jeopardize all of our bodies of water while risking the health of our residents just to benefit one company.

Russell Grasman 8650 24th Avenue, Jenison, MI, said if Plainfield Township doesn't want it there, then why should we take it? There's a detour on Cottonwood right now, so this will make the traffic on 24th Avenue worse. Nobody else wants the material either, so we should not take it.

Robert Courts, 9015 24th Avenue, Jenison, MI, said we're overrun by big trucks. Even in normal conditions, there are a lot of trucks coming out of the gravel pits. Grand Rapids Gravel have had plenty of time to mine that property and they don't have much left so we should not allow material to be imported. He said this will jeopardize property values.

Bruce Jabaay, 8925 24th Avenue, Jenison, MI, said he echoes all of the concerns that previous speakers have said.

The Chairperson closed the floor to public comments.

#210721-03 – Minutes of the July 7, 2021 Mineral Mining Board Meeting

Moved by Tom Healy, seconded by Jessica Ulberg, to approve the minutes as presented.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

#210721-04 – Old Business – Complete (ML2103) new Mineral Mining License (adding uses not approved with the current license is considered to be a new application) under Sec. 26-74 of the Code of Ordinances, on parcels of land in Georgetown Charter Township, Ottawa County, Michigan, described as:

1. P.P. # 70-14-02-100-001, located at 1600 Fillmore St., zoned RR,
2. P.P. # 70-14-02-100-008, located at 1600 Fillmore St., zoned RR,
3. P.P. # 70-14-03-200-003, located at 1625 Fillmore St., zoned RR,
4. P.P. # 70-14-02-100-007, located at 9225 12th Ave, zoned RR,
5. P.P. # 70-14-02-100-004, located at 9301 12th Ave, zoned RR,
6. P.P. # 70-14-02-300-009, located at 1405 Taylor St., zoned LDR (leased property),
7. P.P. # 70-14-03-400-028, located at 1606 Fillmore St., zoned LDR (leased property).

Moved by Tom Healy, seconded by Gary Veldink, to open the floor to the applicant to make comments.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Gary Veldink, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Sammy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.

James Dykema said everyone in the public is here because of an email that was sent to the Cedar Lake Association and that email contained false information. He said importing material for 4 months won't impact property values because they've been there for over 60 years. They just had a meeting with the Parks Department last week about the overall plan. Grand Rapids Gravel dug both lakes of Cedar Lake and hauled that material to the Grand Rapids Gravel plant on Fillmore; a small part of it was dug by DeWent Gravel. He said importing is not an industrial use because it's not in the Industrial chapter of the Zoning Ordinance. Regarding the traffic concerns, he said there will be about 3,500 to 3,700 trips over 4 months if importation is approved so the traffic situation is not as large as it seems. He passed out maps of the Belmont location to the Mining Board members and said there is no PFAS material on the map and EGLE has indicated that the material from the pond proposed to be imported does not have PFAS. He said the closest home is 1,200 feet away while other industrial sites have homes that are within 400 feet. Regarding the bond, he said like Cedar Valley, they have an agreement with the County. He said if importing is not allowed, businesses will incur additional transportation costs that will be passed on to their consumers. Lastly, he said he had a conversation with the Ottawa County Road Commission about mining their property and there is about 500,000 tons of gravel to excavate.

Crystal Morgan commented about the confidential legal memorandum and draft resolution that was prepared for the Mining Board based on the previous meeting. She said the Township, based on the interpretation of the Zoning Administrator and Mineral Mining Official, has consistently determined that importing and processing is an industrial use. She referenced Section 17.2(P) and 17.2(U) of the Zoning Ordinance. She also said industrial uses must also meet industrial standards that are applicable in the industrial district.

Josiah Samy said the Mining Board can review the request in three parts: the extension request, the importing request, and the bond.

Tom Healy said he doesn't have a problem with the proposed 5-year timeframe. The only contention he has is there's limited gravel on the site. He said although Grand Rapids Gravel has the right to mine the area of the oil wells, they don't have the ability right now because of the legal issues the applicant mentioned previously. He said once they obtain the ability, they can submit an application to mine the oil wells. He said the cease excavation and processing of on-site gravel end date should be December 31, 2022, the removal of all stockpiles end date should be December 31, 2023, and the reclamation end date should be June 30, 2024. He said he thinks the majority of the Mining Board would favor a bond based on \$1,000 per acre.

Jeannine Bolhouse said she's in favor of allowing the continuation of extracting and has no issue with the proposed timeframe extension. She said she has read a lot of case law and based on her research, she does not believe the Mining Board has the authority to allow importing in a residential area. Thus, she is not in favor of allowing importing. She said she has no problem with a bond of \$1,000 per acre and that's what the Mining Board has agreed to in the past.

Josiah Samy said he has no issue with the proposed timeframe extension. He said he understands a lot of builders, landscapers, and other businesses need as much material as possible, but the other fact is to balance it with the residents of the Township. He's not in favor of allowing the importation of material after reviewing the legal opinion. He said a bond of \$1,000 per acre is sufficient.

Gary Veldink said he has no problem with the proposed timeframe extension. He has a problem with allowing the importation of material. He said the County is spending a lot of money to repair Cottonwood. He's okay with Grand Rapids Gravel finishing everything they're doing right now, but he is not in favor of importing material. He said a bond of \$1,000 per acre is okay.

Jessica Ulberg said she favors the proposed timeframe extension. She said she defers to legal counsel regarding the importation of material. She's ok with a bond of \$1,000 per acre.

Michael Moores said he is okay with the proposed timeframe extension. He said he's glad to see the public turnout to express their thoughts as well as the letters from businesses and people from the community. He said based on the legal memorandum provided by legal counsel, the Mining Board does not have authority to allow the importation of material with this application. He's okay with a bond of \$1,000 per acre.

Moved by Tom Healy, seconded by Gary Veldink, to adopt the following resolution:

**MINERAL MINING REVIEW BOARD
GEORGETOWN CHARTER TOWNSHIP
OTTAWA COUNTY, MICHIGAN**

RESOLUTION NO. 210721-04

**RESOLUTION APPROVING, WITH CONDITIONS, THE ISSUANCE OF
MINERAL MINING LICENSE ML2103 TO GRAND RAPIDS GRAVEL**

WHEREAS, Georgetown Charter Township (“Township”) has adopted a Mineral Mining Ordinance (“Ordinance”), which governs the application, review, issuance, conditions, and other matters related to mineral mining licenses in the Township; and

WHEREAS, Grand Rapids Gravel (“GRG” or “Licensee”) of 2700 28th St. SW submitted an application for a new mineral mining license (referred to as “ML2103”) for the following seven parcels of land in the Township:

P.P. #70-14-02-100-001, located at 1600 Fillmore, zoned RR
P.P. #70-14-02-100-008, located at 1600 Fillmore, zoned RR
P.P. #70-14-03-200-003, located at 1625 Fillmore, zoned RR
P.P. #70-14-02-100-007, located at 9225 12th Ave., zoned RR
P.P. #70-14-02-100-004, located at 9301 12th Ave., zoned RR
P.P. #70-14-02-300-009, located at 1405 Taylor, zoned LDR (leased property)
P.P. #70-14-03-400-028, located at 1606 Fillmore, zoned LDR (leased property)

(collectively the “Site”); and

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2021, the Township’s Mineral Mining Review Board (“Board”) held a public hearing on the application for ML2103; and

WHEREAS, the Ordinance requires the Board to render a decision on an application within 60 days after the public hearing, and to incorporate its decision in statement of conclusions relative to the application under consideration, which shall specify the basis for the decision.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Georgetown Charter Township Mineral Mining Review Board hereby approves the issuance of mineral mining license ML2103 subject to the findings, conclusions, and conditions set forth below:

1. The applicant, GRG, currently operates under mining license ML1902, which was approved by the Board, with conditions, in Resolution No. 191120-03 (adopted November 20, 2019).

2. GRG appealed the Board’s decision regarding ML1902 and the decision was affirmed by the Township Board, with a minor change to the performance bond requirement, in Resolution No. 200210-11 (adopted February 10, 2020).

3. The application under consideration was determined by the Mineral Mining Official (and the Board agrees) to be an application for a new license (ML2103) for the reason that the application proposes adding uses that are not approved with the current license, thus changing or eliminating certain conditions of the existing license.

4. With the application under consideration, GRG is seeking a mineral mining license to (a) excavate on-site gravel; (b) import and process off-site gravel from various locations; and (c) reclaim the Site.

5. GRG has identified the following as the remaining on-site gravel:

- a. 25,000 tons located directly south of the pump on P.P. #70-14-02-100-004, which GRG represents is being mined;
- b. 80,000 tons located on the peninsulas to the oil wells on P.P. #70-14-02-100-004, the mining of which is subject to capping and abandonment of the oil wells. GRG represents that it is actively engaged in negotiations and anticipates the oil wells will be plugged, capped and abandoned by the end of 2021, after which the gravel can be extracted, and the area restored; and
- c. 80,000 tons located under Hopper and extension of Fillmore on P.P. #70-14-02-100-008 and P.P. # 70-14-03-200-003, which is not being mined because, per GRG, it cannot efficiently remove this gravel “while continuing to haul material from Cedar Valley and 12th Avenue (oil wells)”.

6. In the application, GRG estimates that it will take 5 years to complete mining and reclamation operations at the Site and proposes a 3-year extension of the dates previously approved under ML1902.

7. In the application, GRG references gravel on Ottawa County Road Commission (“OCRC”) parcels “surrounding the GRG plant”; however, GRG also acknowledges that mining of the OCRC property is not included in ML1902 or this application and that it “would need additional approval before it could be mined and processed.” Nothing in this Resolution shall be construed as approving any mining or processing of materials from those OCRC properties.

8. Nothing in this Resolution shall be construed as allowing crushing on the Site. GRG has not requested approval for crushing and crushing is prohibited on the Site.

9. The Board finds that with respect to the gravel remaining on the Site, a 3-year extension of the previously approved deadlines is reasonable under the circumstances and approves the following dates proposed by GRG:

- a. Cease excavation and processing of on-site gravel by December 31, 2024;
- b. Remove all stockpiles by December 31, 2025; and

c. Complete restoration/reclamation of the Site by June 30, 2026.

10. As a condition of approval, GRG is prohibited from importing materials from Cedar Valley.

As a condition of approval of ML1902, the Board approved the limited importation of materials to the Site from the local Cedar Valley site based on the close proximity and then impending completion of the project. When ML1902 was approved in November 2019, the anticipated completion date for Cedar Valley was Spring 2020. In the current application, GRG notes that the Cedar Valley project has not yet generated material and forecasts show that it may not until 2022. This represents a significant change in conditions since approval of ML1902. In addition, Cedar Valley obtained a new mining license on April 7, 2021, at which time it represented to the Township that the material from that site would be sold on the open market; thus, importing of the materials to the GRG Site is not necessary. Further, as discussed below, importing off-site materials for processing on-site is prohibited.

11. As a condition of approval, GRG is prohibited from importing materials from Boulder Creek in Plainfield Township or any other site for processing or stockpiling.

12. The Board finds and GRG has acknowledged that GRG's current mineral mining license (ML1902) and its previous mining license (ML1501 issued in 2015) prohibited the importing of materials to the Site for processing. When the Township became aware that GRG was importing materials in February 2019, Township staff reminded GRG of the prohibition and GRG immediately stopped importing materials. Further, as the Township Board found in Resolution No. 200210-11, "GRG's attempt to challenge the 2015 conditions is untimely."

13. In addition, the Board finds that approving the importation of materials to the Site for processing would be inconsistent with the mining licenses issued by the Township since approximately 2013, after which the Township has consistently added, as a condition of approval, that no materials shall be allowed to be brought onto the site or added to any stockpiles.

14. The Board also acknowledges that under Section 26-78, a license issued under the Ordinance "shall not relieve the licensee from complying with any other applicable statute, ordinance, rule or

regulation.” The Site is located on property zoned Rural Residential (RR) and Low Density Residential (LDR). The Township Zoning Ordinance does not permit industrial-type uses in the RR or LDR districts and the Board finds that the proposed importing and processing of materials excavated from other sites would constitute an industrial operation that is not permitted on property zoned residential. Such an ongoing industrial operation at the Site would be inconsistent with the Zoning Ordinance and the Master Plan.

15. The Board also finds that the proposed importing and processing of material excavated from other sites is not expressly contemplated in the Ordinance or MCL 125.3205(3), which provides that:

An ordinance shall not prevent the extraction, by mining, of valuable natural resources from any property unless very serious consequences would result from the extraction of those natural resources. Natural resources shall be considered valuable for the purposes of this section if a person, by extracting the natural resources, can receive revenue and reasonably expect to operate at a profit.

16. Similarly, MCL 125.3205(4) requires a person challenging a zoning decision under MCL 125.3205(3) to show “that there are valuable natural resources located on the relevant property, that there is a need for the natural resources by the person or in the market served by the person, and that no very serious consequences would result from the extraction, by mining, of the natural resources.”

17. In prohibiting the importing and processing of material extracted from other sites, including such sites as Boulder Creek in Plainfield Township where GRG entered into an agreement not to process the materials on site, this Board is not preventing the extraction, by mining, of valuable natural resources on the relevant property (i.e., the Site). To the contrary, the Board is permitting the extraction, by mining, of valuable natural resources on the Site (i.e., on-site gravel) within the areas and time frame proposed by GRG.

18. Because the Board’s decision does not prevent extraction of natural resources, it does not determine whether very serious consequences would result from the extraction under the factors in MCL 125.3205(5). Nevertheless, the Board finds and GRG acknowledged at the public hearing that importing the material from Boulder Creek would create more traffic to the Site, including more heavy (full) trucks

operating on the roadways. GRG estimated approximately 4,000 trucks over 5 months would be needed to haul materials from Boulder Creek to the Site, and the inclusion of other sites in this application will result in even more heavy truck traffic. This, in turn, has an impact on pedestrian and traffic safety along the proposed hauling route serving the Site.

19. The Board approves ML2103, subject to all of the conditions and limitations set forth in this Resolution, including but not limited to the following deadlines pertaining to the gravel remaining on the Site:

- a. Excavation and processing of the on-site gravel shall cease by December 31, 2024.
- b. All stockpiles shall be removed by December 31, 2025, with the exception of material left solely for use by Ottawa County at the Site. Per Section 26-83(u), the approval to store and stockpile mined products for up to 12 months after cessation of mining activities shall not interfere with or excuse reclamation as otherwise required under the Ordinance and this Resolution. In no event shall any additional materials be added to the stockpiles.
- c. Reclamation of the Site shall be completed by June 30, 2026. Reclamation of the Site includes breakdown and removal of the plant, and cessation of all activities related to ML2103 on all seven parcels. GRG shall reclaim the Site in compliance with the Ordinance and to the standards set forth in the Bend in the River Master Plan, as amended (i.e., the 2010 Bend Area Final Report), except as may be expressly approved in writing by the Ottawa County and/or the Ottawa County Parks and Recreation Commission in consultation with the Township (referred to hereinafter as the "Approved Reclamation Plan").

20. If GRG fails to reclaim the site as required by the Approved Reclamation Plan, the Township may come upon the Site per Section 26-83(t) of the Ordinance and reclaim the land in accordance with the Approved Reclamation Plan and may use the proceeds of the performance guarantee required by the Ordinance and this Resolution to defray the costs of the reclamation.

21. GRG shall provide and maintain a performance guarantee of \$1,000 per acre. The performance guarantee shall be provided as set forth in Section 26- 81 of the Ordinance and, per that section, GRG may appear before the Board to request a pro-rata reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee for each acre restored and reclaimed.

22. The Board finds that except as otherwise provided in this Resolution, GRG has represented that it meets or will meet the minimum standards and requirements set forth in Ordinance Section 26-83

for the following: fencing and signs; screening; hours of operation; access to public roadways; on-site roads; transportation vehicle standards; lighting; location of excavation; drainage and erosion control; dust control; noise control; special land conditions; fueling of vehicles. GRG shall, as a condition of approval, maintain compliance with these requirements as set forth in Section 26-83.

23. GRG shall, as a condition of approval, ensure that it meets the requirements in Sections 26-83(p) (treatment of banks), Section 26-83(q) (vegetation), Section 26-83(r) (stockpiling and replacement of topsoil), and Section 26-83(s) (fill material).

24. The Board approves the site plan submitted by GRG, entitled “Bend in the River Mining Enlarged Site Plan - GR Gravel Plant #16”, revised June 11, 2021; however, all notations on the site plan that are inconsistent with this Resolution shall be considered stricken from the site plan. In the event there is a conflict between the site plan and this Resolution, this Resolution shall control. In the event there is a conflict between the site plan and the Approved Reclamation Plan, the Approved Reclamation Plan shall control.

25. The license is approved until and shall expire June 30, 2026, unless suspended or revoked earlier per the Ordinance.

26. The Board incorporates the findings and conclusions in the Staff Report regarding ML2103, to the extent they do not conflict with this Resolution.

27. This approval of ML2103, with conditions, supersedes the existing license(s) pertaining to the subject parcels. The Site shall be operated in compliance with ML2103 and the conditions of approval so long as ML2103 is in effect.

28. GRG shall be considered the licensee for purposes of enforcing the Ordinance and the conditions of approval.

29. GRG shall comply with all federal, state, and local laws, regulations and ordinances, including the Ordinance.

30. All resolutions and parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are, to the extent of such conflict, repealed.

At a meeting of the Georgetown Charter Township Mineral Mining Review Board held on July 21, 2021, at 7:00 p.m., this resolution was offered by Member Tom Healy, and supported by Member Gary Veldink.

YEAS: Ulberg, Veldink, Samy, Bolhouse, Healy, Moores

NAYS: None

ABSENT: Ferguson

RESOLUTION NO. 210721-04 DECLARED ADOPTED.

#210721-05 – Public Comments

James Dykema, 1405 Taylor Street, Jenison, MI, questioned why the importation of material is not allowed. He said importation was allowed in 2010 and importation was allowed from Cedar Valley in 2019.

#210721-06 – Other Business

There was no other business at this time.

#210721-07 – Adjournment

Moved by Gary Veldink, seconded by Tom Healy, to adjourn the special meeting at 8:16 p.m.

Yeas: Jessica Ulberg, Jeannine Bolhouse, Josiah Samy, Tom Healy, Michael Moores, Josiah Samy

Nays: None

MOTION CARRIED.